

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93943-5138

NPS(09)
25 Oct 99

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJ: MINUTES OF THE RESEARCH BOARD MEETING

1. The Research Board Meeting commenced at 1400, 17 Sep 99, HA 204. Following is a list of attendees:

Dean Netzer	Code 09	<u>Not in attendance:</u>	
Danielle Kuska	Code 91		
Judy Joyce	Code 91Jj		
Professor Biblarz	AA	Professor Wirtz	NS
Professor Rowe	CS	Professor M. Rasmussen,	
Professor Tummala	EC	Faculty Council Rep.	
Professor Borges	MA		
Professor Kwon	ME		
Professor Davidson	MR		
Professor Paduan (for Prof Thornton)	OC		
Professor Wood	OR		
Professor Baker	PH		
Professor Eitelberg	SM		
Professor Tucker (for Prof Eagle)	GP		
Professor Weitzman	Faculty Council Rep.		

2. A copy of the Memorandum on Sabbatical Policy was handed out as the first item on the agenda. Of concern to faculty has been the policy of travel while on Sabbatical Leave. The policy is, and will be modified in the Faculty Handbook to read, "Faculty on Sabbatical Leave can use reimbursable funds for per diem and other normal travel expenses, as long as the reimbursable sponsor provides written authorization for the expenditures."

3. Dean Netzer announced that a web site would be available with the IT Study - Statement of Work posted so faculty may comment on the level of service that will be put out for bid under the Commercial Activities (CA) Study.

4. The nominations for the Menneken awards closed on 30 September.

5. Representatives from the Library, Research Office, a recent graduate and Professor Todd Weatherford will travel on 1 Nov to Virginia Tech to review their "electronic" thesis process. NPS hopes to adapt their method for our use.

SUBJ: MINUTES OF THE RESEARCH BOARD MEETING

6. Word was given to expect the request for a final report on FY99 NIFR Projects.
7. Dean Netzer asked the Boards' help in obtaining better data on faculty publications. The School as a whole does not have current or complete data. When this information is requested from an outside source, it appears that there is not much publishing done at NPS. A discussion ensued on how to collect this information from the faculty in the least painful way.
8. The Recap Proposals were the last item discussed. Several ideas and concerns were raised.
 - How many IT related proposals have been funded and has the equipment been maintained?
 - How are recap "items" tied to the success of past projects?
 - Is there historical data available as to past proposals: how many dollars? which departments? Etc.

After much debate, it was decided that more time was needed for the ranking process. The Research Office will provide historical data.

9. Meeting adjourned at approximately 1530.